

q -Hermite Polynomials and Classical Orthogonal Polynomials *

Christian Berg and Mourad E. H. Ismail

May 19, 1994

Abstract

We use generating functions to express orthogonality relations in the form of q -beta integrals. The integrand of such a q -beta integral is then used as a weight function for a new set of orthogonal or biorthogonal functions. This method is applied to the continuous q -Hermite polynomials, the Al-Salam-Carlitz polynomials, and the polynomials of Szegő and leads naturally to the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials then to the Askey-Wilson polynomials, the big q -Jacobi polynomials and the biorthogonal rational functions of Al-Salam and Verma, and some recent biorthogonal functions of Al-Salam and Ismail.

Running title: Classical Orthogonal Polynomials.

1990 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 33D45, Secondary .

em Key words and phrases. Askey-Wilson polynomials, q -orthogonal polynomials, orthogonality relations, q -beta integrals, q -Hermite polynomials.

1. Introduction. The q -Hermite polynomials seem to be at the bottom of a hierarchy of the classical q -orthogonal polynomials, [6]. They contain no parameters, other than q , and one can get them as special or limiting cases of other orthogonal polynomials.

The purpose of this work is to show how one can systematically build the classical q -orthogonal polynomials from the q -Hermite polynomials using a simple procedure of attaching generating functions to measures.

*Research partially supported by NSF grant DMS 9203659

Let $\{p_n(x)\}$ be orthogonal polynomials with respect to a positive measure μ with moments of any order and infinite support such that

$$(1.1) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(x)p_m(x) d\mu(x) = \zeta_n \delta_{m,n}.$$

Assume that we know a generating function for $\{p_n(x)\}$, that is we have

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(x)t^n/c_n = G(x, t),$$

for a suitable numerical sequence of nonzero elements $\{\zeta_n\}$. This implies that the orthogonality relation (1.1) is equivalent to

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x, t_1)G(x, t_2)d\mu(x) = \sum_0^{\infty} \zeta_n \frac{(t_1 t_2)^n}{c_n^2},$$

provided that we can justify the interchange of integration and sums.

Our idea is to use

$$G(x, t_1)G(x, t_2) d\mu(x)$$

as a new measure, the total mass of which is given by (1.3), and then look for a system of functions (preferably polynomials) orthogonal or biorthogonal with respect to it. If such a system is found one can then repeat the process. It is clear that we cannot indefinitely continue this process. Things will become too complicated at a certain level, and the process will then terminate.

If μ has compact support it will often be the case that (1.2) converges uniformly for x in the support and $|t|$ sufficiently small. In this case the justification is obvious.

We mention the following general result with no assumptions about the support of μ . For $0 < \rho \leq \infty$ we denote by $D(0, \rho)$ the set of $z \in \mathbf{C}$ with $|z| < \rho$.

Proposition 1.1 *Assume that (1.1) holds and that the power series*

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_n}}{c_n} z^n$$

has a radius of convergence ρ with $0 < \rho \leq \infty$.

(i) Then there is a μ -null set $N \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ such that (1.2) converges absolutely for $|t| < \rho, x \in \mathbf{R} \setminus N$. Furthermore (1.2) converges in $L^2(\mu)$ for $|t| < \rho$, and (1.3) holds for $|t_1|, |t_2| < \rho$.

(ii) If μ is indeterminate then (1.2) converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of $\Omega = \mathbf{C} \times D(0, \rho)$, and G is holomorphic in Ω .

Proof. For $0 < r_0 < r < \rho$ there exists $C > 0$ such that $(\sqrt{\zeta_n}/|c_n|)r^n \leq C$ for $n \geq 0$, and we find

$$\left\| \sum_{n=0}^N |p_n(x)| \frac{r_0^n}{|c_n|} \right\|_{L^2(\mu)} \leq \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_n}}{|c_n|} r^n \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^n \leq C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^n < \infty,$$

which by the monotone convergence theorem implies that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |p_n(x)| \frac{r_0^n}{|c_n|} \in L^2(\mu),$$

and in particular the sum is finite for μ -almost all x . This implies that there is a μ -null set $N \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ such that $\sum p_n(x)(t^n/c_n)$ is absolutely convergent for $|t| < \rho$ and $x \in \mathbf{R} \setminus N$.

The series (1.2) can be considered as a power series with values in $L^2(\mu)$, and by assumption its radius of convergence is ρ . It follows that (1.2) converges to $G(x, t)$ in $L^2(\mu)$ for $|t| < \rho$, and (1.3) is a consequence of Parseval's formula.

If μ is indeterminate it is well known that $\sum |p_n(x)|^2/\zeta_n$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbf{C}$, cf. [1], [21], and the assertion follows. $\square$

In order to describe details of our work we will need to introduce some notations. There are three systems of q -Hermite polynomials. Two of them are orthogonal on compact subsets of the real line and the third is orthogonal on the unit circle. The two q -Hermite polynomials on the real line are the discrete q -Hermite polynomials $\{H_n(x : q)\}$ and the continuous q -Hermite polynomials $\{H_n(x|q)\}$ of L. J. Rogers. They are generated by

$$(1.5) \quad 2xH_n(x|q) = H_{n+1}(x|q) + (1 - q^n)H_{n-1}(x|q),$$

$$(1.6) \quad xH_n(x : q) = H_{n+1}(x : q) + q^{n-1}(1 - q^n)H_{n-1}(x : q),$$

and the initial conditions

$$(1.7) \quad H_0(x|q) = H_0(x : q) = 1, \quad H_1(x|q) = 2x, \quad H_1(x : q) = x.$$

We will describe the q -Hermite polynomials on the unit circle later in the Introduction. The discrete and continuous q -Hermite polynomials have generating functions

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_n(x : q)}{(q; q)_n} t^n = \frac{(t, -t; q)_{\infty}}{(xt; q)_{\infty}},$$

and

$$(1.9) \quad \sum_0^\infty \frac{H_n(x|q)}{(q; q)_n} t^n = \frac{1}{(te^{i\theta}, te^{-i\theta}; q)_\infty}, \quad x = \cos \theta,$$

respectively, where we used the notation in [14] for the q -shifted factorials

$$(1.10) \quad (a; q)_0 := 1, \quad (a; q)_n := \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - aq^{k-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ or } \infty,$$

and the multiple q -shifted factorials

$$(1.11) \quad (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k; q)_n := \prod_{j=1}^k (a_j; q)_n.$$

A basic hypergeometric series is

$$(1.12) \quad {}_r\phi_s \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix} \middle| q, z \right) = {}_r\phi_s(a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_s; q, z) \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)_n}{(q, b_1, \dots, b_s; q)_n} z^n ((-1)^n q^{n(n-1)/2})^{s+1-r}.$$

In Section 2 we apply the procedure outlined at the beginning of the Introduction to the continuous q -Hermite polynomials for $|q| < 1$ and we reach the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials in the first step and the second step takes us to the Askey-Wilson polynomials. It is worth mentioning that the Askey-Wilson polynomials are the general classical orthogonal polynomials, [6]. As a byproduct we get a simple evaluation of the Askey-Wilson q -beta integral, [10]. This seems to be the end of the line in this direction. The case $q > 1$ will be studied in Section 5, see comments below. In Section 3 we apply the same procedure to the polynomials $\{U_n^{(a)}(x; q)\}$ and $\{V_n^{(a)}(x; q)\}$ of Al-Salam and Carlitz [2]. They are generated by the recurrences

$$(1.13) \quad U_{n+1}^{(a)}(x; q) = [x - (1 + a)q^n]U_n^{(a)}(x; q) + aq^{n-1}(1 - q^n)U_{n-1}^{(a)}(x; q), \quad n > 0,$$

$$(1.14) \quad V_{n+1}^{(a)}(x; q) = [x - (1 + a)q^{-n}]V_n^{(a)}(x; q) - aq^{1-2n}(1 - q^n)V_{n-1}^{(a)}(x; q), \quad n > 0,$$

and the initial conditions

$$(1.15) \quad U_0^{(a)}(x; q) = V_0^{(a)}(x; q) = 1, \quad U_1^{(a)}(x; q) = V_1^{(a)}(x; q) = x - 1 - a,$$

[2], [12]. It is clear that $U_n^{(a)}(x; 1/q) = V_n^{(a)}(x; q)$, so there is no loss of generality in assuming $0 < q < 1$. The U_n 's provide a one parameter extension of the discrete q -Hermite polynomials

when $0 < q < 1$ corresponding to $a = -1$. In Section 3 we show that our attachment procedure generates the big q -Jacobi polynomials from the U_n 's. The big q -Jacobi polynomials were introduced by Andrews and Askey in 1976. The application of our procedure to the V_n 's does not lead to orthogonal polynomials but to a system of biorthogonal rational functions of Al-Salam and Verma [5].

The q -analogue of Hermite polynomials on the unit circle are the polynomials

$$(1.16) \quad \mathcal{H}_n(z; q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q; q)_n (q^{-1/2} z)^k}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{n-k}}.$$

Szegő introduced these polynomials in [22] to illustrate his theory of polynomials orthogonal on the unit circle. Szegő used the Jacobi triple product identity to prove the orthogonality relation

$$(1.17) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathcal{H}_m(e^{i\theta}; q) \overline{\mathcal{H}_n(e^{i\theta}; q)} (q^{1/2} e^{i\theta}, q^{1/2} e^{-i\theta}; q)_\infty d\theta = \frac{(q; q)_n q^{-n}}{(q; q)_\infty} \delta_{m,n}.$$

In Section 4 we show how generating functions transform (1.16) to a q -beta integral of Ramanujan. This explains the origin of the biorthogonal polynomials of Pastro [19] and the ${}_4\phi_3$ biorthogonal rational functions of Al-Salam and Ismail [4].

In section 5 we consider the q -Hermite polynomials for $q > 1$. They are orthogonal on the imaginary axis. For $0 < q < 1$ we put $h_n(x|q) = (-i)^n H_n(ix|1/q)$, and $\{h_n(x|q)\}$ are called the q^{-1} -Hermite polynomials. They correspond to an indeterminate moment problem considered in detail in [17]. Using a q -analogue of the Mehler formula for these polynomials we derive an analogue of the Askey-Wilson integral valid for all the solutions to the indeterminate moment problem. Our derivation, which is different from the one in [17], is based on Parseval's formula.

The attachment procedure for the q^{-1} -Hermite polynomials leads to a special case of the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials corresponding to $q > 1$, more precisely to the polynomials

$$(1.18) \quad u_n(x; t_1, t_2) = v_n(-2x; q, -(t_1 + t_2)/q, t_1 t_2 q^{-2}, -1),$$

cf. [9]. We prove that for any positive orthogonality measure μ for the q^{-1} -Hermite polynomials

$$(1.19) \quad d\nu_\mu(\sinh \xi; t_1, t_2) := \frac{(-t_1 e^\xi, t_1 e^{-\xi}, -t_2 e^\xi, t_2 e^{-\xi}; q)_\infty}{(-t_1 t_2 / q; q)_\infty} d\mu(\sinh \xi),$$

is an orthogonality measure for $\{u_n\}$.

The attachment procedure applied to $\{u_n\}$ leads to the biorthogonal rational functions

$$(1.20) \quad \varphi_n(\sinh \xi; t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) := {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, -t_1 t_2 q^{n-2}, -t_1 t_3 / q, -t_1 t_4 / q \\ -t_1 e^\xi, t_1 e^{-\xi}, t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 q^{-3} \end{matrix} \middle| q, q \right).$$

of Ismail and Masson [17] in the special case $t_3 = t_4 = 0$.

2. The Continuous q -Hermite Ladder. Here we assume $-1 < q < 1$. The orthogonality relation for the continuous q -Hermite polynomials is

$$(2.1) \quad \int_0^\pi H_m(\cos \theta|q) H_n(\cos \theta|q) (e^{2i\theta}, e^{-2i\theta}; q)_\infty d\theta = \frac{2\pi(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_\infty} \delta_{m,n}.$$

The series in (1.9) converges for $|t| < 1$ uniformly in $\theta \in [0, \pi]$. Thus (1.2), (1.3) and the generating function (1.9) imply

$$(2.2) \quad \int_0^\pi \frac{(e^{2i\theta}, e^{-2i\theta}; q)_\infty}{(t_1 e^{i\theta}, t_1 e^{-i\theta}, t_2 e^{i\theta}, t_2 e^{-i\theta}; q)_\infty} d\theta = \frac{2\pi}{(q, t_1 t_2; q)_\infty}, \quad |t_1|, |t_2| < 1,$$

where we used the q -binomial theorem [14, (II.3)]

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_0^\infty \frac{(a; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} z^n = \frac{(az; q)_\infty}{(z; q)_\infty},$$

with $a = 0$.

The next step is to find polynomials $\{p_n(x)\}$ orthogonal with respect to the weight function

$$(2.4) \quad w_1(x; t_1, t_2) := \frac{(e^{2i\theta}, e^{-2i\theta}; q)_\infty}{(t_1 e^{i\theta}, t_1 e^{-i\theta}, t_2 e^{i\theta}, t_2 e^{-i\theta}; q)_\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}, \quad x = \cos \theta,$$

which is positive for $t_1, t_2 \in (-1, 1)$. Here we follow a clever technique of attachment which was used by Askey and Andrews and by Askey and Wilson in [10]. Write $\{p_n(x)\}$ in the form

$$(2.5) \quad p_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, t_1 e^{i\theta}, t_1 e^{-i\theta}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} a_{n,k},$$

then determine $a_{n,k}$ such that $p_n(x)$ is orthogonal to $(t_2 e^{i\theta}, t_2 e^{-i\theta}; q)_j$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Note that $(a e^{i\theta}, a e^{-i\theta}; q)_k$ is a polynomial in x of degree k , since

$$(2.6) \quad (a e^{i\theta}, a e^{-i\theta}; q)_k = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (1 - 2axq^j + a^2 q^{2j}).$$

The reason for choosing the bases $\{(t_1 e^{i\theta}, t_1 e^{-i\theta}; q)_k\}$ and $\{(t_2 e^{i\theta}, t_2 e^{-i\theta}; q)_j\}$ is that they attach nicely to the weight function and (2.2) enables us to integrate $(t_1 e^{i\theta}, t_1 e^{-i\theta}; q)_k (t_2 e^{i\theta}, t_2 e^{-i\theta}; q)_j$ against the weight function $w_1(x; t_1, t_2)$. Indeed

$$(t_1 e^{i\theta}, t_1 e^{-i\theta}; q)_k (t_2 e^{i\theta}, t_2 e^{-i\theta}; q)_j w_1(x; t_1, t_2) = w_1(x; t_1 q^k, t_2 q^j).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-1}^1 (t_2 e^{i\theta}, t_2 e^{-i\theta}; q)_j p_n(x) w_1(x; t_1, t_2) dx \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} a_{n,k} \int_0^\pi \frac{(e^{2i\theta}, e^{-2i\theta}; q)_\infty d\theta}{(t_1 q^k e^{i\theta}, t_1 q^k e^{-i\theta}, t_2 q^j e^{i\theta}, t_2 q^j e^{-i\theta}; q)_\infty} \\
&= \frac{2\pi}{(q; q)_\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}; q)_k a_{n,k}}{(q; q)_k (t_1 t_2 q^{k+j}; q)_\infty} \\
&= \frac{2\pi}{(q, t_1 t_2 q^j; q)_\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, t_1 t_2 q^j; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} a_{n,k}.
\end{aligned}$$

At this stage we look for $a_{n,k}$ as a quotient of products of q -shifted factorials in order to make the above sum vanish for $0 \leq j < n$. The q -Chu-Vandermonde sum [14, (II.6)]

$$(2.7) \quad {}_2\phi_1(q^{-n}, a; c; q, q) = \frac{(c/a; q)_n}{(c; q)_n} a^n$$

suggests

$$a_{n,k} = q^k / (t_1 t_2; q)_k.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{-1}^1 (t_2 e^{i\theta}, t_2 e^{-i\theta}; q)_j p_n(x) w_1(x; t_1, t_2) dx = \frac{2\pi (q^{-j}; q)_n}{(q, t_1 t_2 q^j; q)_\infty (t_1 t_2; q)_n} (t_1 t_2 q^j)^n.$$

It follows from (2.5) and (2.6) that the coefficient of x^n in $p_n(x)$ is

$$(2.8) \quad (-2t_1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2} (q^{-n}; q)_n / (q, t_1 t_2; q)_n = (2t_1)^n / (t_1 t_2; q)_n.$$

This lead to the orthogonality relation

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{-1}^1 p_m(x) p_n(x) w_1(x; t_1, t_2) dx = \frac{2\pi (q; q)_n t_1^{2n}}{(q, t_1 t_2; q)_\infty (t_1 t_2; q)_n} \delta_{m,n}.$$

Furthermore the polynomials are given by

$$(2.10) \quad p_n(x) = {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, t_1 e^{i\theta}, t_1 e^{-i\theta} \\ t_1 t_2, 0 \end{matrix} \middle| q, q \right).$$

The polynomials we have just found are the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials and were first identified by W. Al-Salam and T. Chihara [3]. Their weight function was given in [9] and [10].

Observe that the orthogonality relation (2.9) and the uniqueness of the polynomials orthogonal with respect to a positive measure show that $t_1^{-n}p_n(x)$ is symmetric in t_1 and t_2 . This gives the known transformation

$$(2.11) \quad {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, t_1 e^{i\theta}, t_1 e^{-i\theta} \\ t_1 t_2, 0 \end{matrix} \middle| q, q \right) = (t_1/t_2)^n {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, t_2 e^{i\theta}, t_2 e^{-i\theta} \\ t_1 t_2, 0 \end{matrix} \middle| q, q \right)$$

as a byproduct of our analysis.

Our next task is to repeat the process with the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials as our starting point. The representation (2.10) needs to be transformed to a form more amenable to generating functions. This can be done using an idea of Ismail and Wilson [18]. First write the ${}_3\phi_2$ as a sum over k then replace k by $n - k$. Applying

$$(2.12) \quad (a; q)_{n-k} = \frac{(a; q)_n}{(q^{1-n}/a; q)_k} (-q/a)^k q^{-kn+k(k-1)/2}$$

we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad p_n(x) = \frac{(t_1 e^{i\theta}, t_1 e^{-i\theta}; q)_n}{(t_1 t_2; q)_n} q^{-n(n-1)/2} (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-t_2/t_1)^k (q^{-n}, q^{1-n}/t_1 t_2; q)_k}{(q, q^{1-n} e^{i\theta}/t_1, q^{1-n} e^{-i\theta}/t_1; q)_k} q^{k(k+1)/2}.$$

Applying the q -analogue of the Pfaff-Kummer transformation [14, (III.4)]

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(A, C/B; q)_n}{(q, C, Az; q)_n} q^{n(n-1)/2} (-Bz)^n = \frac{(z; q)_{\infty}}{(Az; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\phi_1(A, B; C; q, z),$$

with

$$A = q^{-n}, \quad B = t_2 e^{i\theta}, \quad C = q^{1-n} e^{i\theta}/t_1, \quad z = q e^{-i\theta}/t_1$$

to (2.13) we obtain the representation

$$p_n(x) = \frac{(t_1 e^{-i\theta}; q)_n t_1^n e^{in\theta}}{(t_1 t_2; q)_n} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, t_2 e^{i\theta} \\ q^{1-n} e^{i\theta}/t_1 \end{matrix} \middle| q, q e^{-i\theta}/t_1 \right).$$

Using (2.12) we express a multiple of p_n as a Cauchy product of two sequences. The result is

$$p_n(x) = \frac{(q; q)_n t_1^n}{(t_1 t_2; q)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(t_2 e^{i\theta}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} e^{-ik\theta} \frac{(t_1 e^{-i\theta}; q)_{n-k}}{(q; q)_{n-k}} e^{i(n-k)\theta}.$$

This and the q -binomial theorem (2.3) establish the generating function

$$(2.15) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t_1 t_2; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} p_n(x) (t/t_1)^n = \frac{(t t_1, t t_2; q)_{\infty}}{(t e^{-i\theta}, t e^{i\theta}; q)_{\infty}}$$

The orthogonality relation (2.9) and the generating function (2.15) imply the Askey-Wilson q -beta integral, [10], [14]

$$(2.16) \quad \int_0^{\pi} \frac{(e^{2i\theta}, e^{-2i\theta}; q)_{\infty}}{\prod_{j=1}^4 (t_j e^{i\theta}, t_j e^{-i\theta}; q)_{\infty}} d\theta = \frac{2\pi (t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4; q)_{\infty}}{(q; q)_{\infty} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq 4} (t_j t_k; q)_{\infty}}.$$

The polynomials orthogonal with respect to the weight function whose total mass is given by (2.16) are the Askey-Wilson polynomials. Their explicit representation and orthogonality follow from (2.16) and the q -analogue of the Pfaff-Saalschütz theorem, [14, (II.12)]. The details of this calculation are in [10]. The polynomials are

$$(2.17) \quad p_n(x; t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 | q) = t_1^{-n} (t_1 t_2, t_1 t_3, t_1 t_4; q)_n {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 q^{n-1}, t_1 e^{i\theta}, t_1 e^{-i\theta} \\ t_1 t_2, t_1 t_3, t_1 t_4 \end{matrix} \middle| q, q \right).$$

The orthogonality relation of the Askey-Wilson polynomials is [10, (2.3)-(2.5)]

$$(2.18) \quad \int_0^{\pi} p_m(\cos \theta; t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 | q) p_n(\cos \theta; t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 | q) w(\cos \theta; t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) d\theta$$

$$= \frac{2\pi (t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 q^{2n}; q)_{\infty} (t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 q^{n-1}; q)_n}{(q^{n+1}; q)_{\infty} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq 4} (t_j t_k q^n; q)_{\infty}} \delta_{m,n},$$

for $\max\{|t_1|, |t_2|, |t_3|, |t_4|\} < 1$ and the weight function is given by

$$(2.19) \quad w(\cos \theta; t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \frac{(e^{2i\theta}, e^{-2i\theta}; q)_{\infty}}{\prod_{j=1}^4 (t_j e^{i\theta}, t_j e^{-i\theta}; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Observe that the weight function in (2.19) and the right-hand side of (2.18) are symmetric functions of t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 . The weight function in (2.18) is positive when $\max\{|t_1|, |t_2|, |t_3|, |t_4|\} < 1$ and the uniqueness of the polynomials orthogonal with respect to a positive measure shows that the Askey-Wilson polynomials are symmetric in the four parameters t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 . This symmetry is the Sears transformation [14, (III.15)], a fundamental transformation in the theory of basic hypergeometric functions. The Sears transformation may be stated in the form

$$(2.20) \quad {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, a, b, c \\ d, e, f \end{matrix} \middle| q, q \right) = \left(\frac{bc}{d} \right)^n \frac{(\frac{de}{bc}, \frac{df}{bc})_n}{(e, f)_n} {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, a, d/b, d/c \\ d, \frac{de}{bc}, \frac{df}{bc} \end{matrix} \middle| q, q \right),$$

where $abc = defq^{n-1}$.

Ismail and Wilson [18] used the Sears transformation to establish the generating function

$$(2.21) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_n(\cos \theta; t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 | q)}{(q, t_1 t_2, t_3 t_4; q)_n} t^n = {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} t_1 e^{i\theta}, t_2 e^{i\theta} \\ t_1 t_2 \end{matrix} \middle| q, t e^{-i\theta} \right) {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} t_3 e^{-i\theta}, t_4 e^{-i\theta} \\ t_3 t_4 \end{matrix} \middle| q, t e^{i\theta} \right).$$

Thus (2.18) leads to the evaluation of the following integral

$$(2.22) \quad \int_0^\pi \prod_{j=5}^6 {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} t_1 e^{i\theta}, t_2 e^{i\theta} \\ t_1 t_2 \end{matrix} \middle| q, t_j e^{-i\theta} \right) {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} t_3 e^{-i\theta}, t_4 e^{-i\theta} \\ t_3 t_4 \end{matrix} \middle| q, t_j e^{i\theta} \right) \\ \times \frac{(e^{2i\theta}, e^{-2i\theta}; q)_\infty}{\prod_{j=1}^4 (t_j e^{i\theta}, t_j e^{-i\theta}; q)_\infty} d\theta \\ = \frac{2\pi (t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4; q)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq 4} (t_j t_k; q)_\infty} {}_6\phi_5 \left(\begin{matrix} \sqrt{t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 / q}, -\sqrt{t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 / q}, t_1 t_3, t_1 t_4, t_2 t_3, t_2 t_4 \\ \sqrt{t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 q}, -\sqrt{t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 q}, t_1 t_2, t_3 t_4, t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 / q \end{matrix} \middle| q, t_5 t_6 \right),$$

valid for $\max\{|t_1|, |t_2|, |t_3|, |t_4|, |t_5|, |t_6|\} < 1$.

3. The Discrete q -Hermite Ladder. Here we assume $0 < q < 1$. Instead of using the discrete q -Hermite polynomials directly we will use the Al-Salam-Carlitz q -polynomials which are a one parameter generalization of the discrete q -Hermite polynomials. The Al-Salam-Carlitz polynomials $\{U_n^{(a)}(x; q)\}$ have the generating function [2], [12]

$$(3.1) \quad G(x; t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n^{(a)}(x; q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(t, at; q)_\infty}{(tx; q)_\infty}, \quad a < 0, \quad 0 < q < 1,$$

and satisfy the the orthogonality relation

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_m^{(a)}(x; q) U_n^{(a)}(x; q) d\mu^{(a)}(x) = (-a)^n q^{n(n-1)/2} (q; q)_n \delta_{m,n},$$

with $\mu^{(a)}$ a discrete probability measure on $[a, 1]$ given by

$$(3.3) \quad \mu^{(a)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{q^n}{(q, q/a; q)_n (a; q)_\infty} \varepsilon_{q^n} + \frac{q^n}{(q, aq; q)_n (1/a; q)_\infty} \varepsilon_{aq^n} \right].$$

In (3.3) ε_y denotes a unit mass supported at y . The form of the orthogonality relation (3.2)-(3.3) given in [2] and [12] contained a complicated form of a normalization constant. The value of the

constant was simplified in [15]. Since the radius of convergence of (1.4) is $\rho = \infty$ we can apply Proposition 1.1 and get

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x; t_1) G(x; t_2) d\mu^{(a)}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-at_1t_2)^n}{(q; q)_n} q^{n(n-1)/2} = (at_1t_2; q)_{\infty}, \quad t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{C},$$

where the last expression follows by Euler's theorem [14, (II.2)]

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n q^{n(n-1)/2} / (q; q)_n = (-z; q)_{\infty}.$$

This establishes the integral

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\mu^{(a)}(x)}{(xt_1, xt_2; q)_{\infty}} = \frac{(at_1t_2; q)_{\infty}}{(t_1, t_2, at_1, at_2; q)_{\infty}}.$$

When we substitute for $\mu^{(a)}$ from (3.3) in (3.4) or (3.6) we discover the nonterminating Chu-Vandermonde sum, [14, (II.23)],

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{(Aq/C, Bq/C; q)_{\infty}}{(q/C; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} A, B \\ C \end{matrix} \middle| q, q \right) + \frac{(A, B; q)_{\infty}}{(C/q; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} Aq/C, Bq/C \\ q^2/C \end{matrix} \middle| q, q \right) = (ABq/C; q)_{\infty}$$

We now restrict the attention to $t_1, t_2 \in (a^{-1}, 1)$ in the case of which $1/(xt_1, xt_2; q)_{\infty}$ is a positive weight function on $[a, 1]$. The next step is to find polynomials orthogonal with respect to $d\mu^{(a)}(x)/(xt_1, xt_2; q)_{\infty}$. Define $P_n(x)$ by

$$(3.8) \quad P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, xt_1; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} q^k a_{n,k}$$

where $a_{n,k}$ will be chosen later. Using (3.6) it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_n(x) \frac{(xt_2; q)_m}{(xt_1, xt_2; q)_{\infty}} d\mu^{(a)}(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} q^k a_{n,k} \frac{(at_1t_2q^{k+m}; q)_{\infty}}{(t_1q^k, at_1q^k, t_2q^m, at_2q^m; q)_{\infty}} \\ &= \frac{(at_1t_2q^m; q)_{\infty}}{(t_1, at_1, t_2q^m, at_2q^m; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, t_1, at_1; q)_k}{(q, at_1t_2q^m; q)_k} a_{n,k} q^k. \end{aligned}$$

The choice $a_{n,k} = (\lambda; q)_k / (t_1, at_1; q)_k$ allows us to apply the q -Chu-Vandermonde sum (2.7). The choice $\lambda = at_1t_2q^{n-1}$ leads to

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_n(x) \frac{(xt_2; q)_m}{(xt_1, xt_2; q)_{\infty}} d\mu^{(a)}(x) = \frac{(at_1t_2q^m; q)_{\infty} (q^{m+1-n}; q)_n (at_1t_2q^{n-1})^n}{(t_1, at_1, t_2q^m, at_2q^m; q)_{\infty} (at_1t_2q^m; q)_n}.$$

The right-hand side of (3.9) vanishes for $0 \leq m < n$. The coefficient of x^n in $P_n(x)$ is

$$\frac{(q^{-n}, at_1 t_2 q^{n-1}; q)_n}{(q, t_1, at_1; q)_n} (-t_1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2} = \frac{(at_1 t_2 q^{n-1}; q)_n}{(t_1, at_1; q)_n} t_1^n.$$

Therefore

$$(3.10) \quad P_n(x) = \varphi_n(x; a, t_1, t_2) = {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, at_1 t_2 q^{n-1}, xt_1 \\ t_1, at_1 \end{matrix} \middle| q, q \right),$$

satisfies the orthogonality relation

$$(3.11) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_m(x; a, t_1, t_2) \varphi_n(x; a, t_1, t_2) \frac{d\mu^{(a)}(x)}{(xt_1, xt_2; q)_{\infty}} \\ = \frac{(q, t_2, at_2, at_1 t_2 q^{n-1}; q)_n (at_1 t_2 q^{2n}; q)_{\infty}}{(t_1, at_1, t_2, at_2; q)_{\infty} (t_1, at_1; q)_n} (-at_1^2)^n q^{n(n-1)/2} \delta_{m,n}.$$

The polynomials $\{\varphi_n(x; a, t_1, t_2)\}$ are the big q -Jacobi polynomials of Andrews and Askey [6] in a different normalization. The Andrews-Askey normalization is

$$(3.12) \quad P_n(x; \alpha, \beta, \gamma : q) = {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, \alpha\beta q^{n+1}, x \\ \alpha q, \gamma q \end{matrix} \middle| q, q \right).$$

Note that we may rewrite the orthogonality relation (3.11) in the form

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t_1^{-m} (t_1, at_1; q)_m \varphi_m(x; a, t_1, t_2) t_1^{-n} (t_1, at_1; q)_n \varphi_n(x; a, t_1, t_2) \frac{d\mu^{(a)}(x)}{(xt_1, xt_2; q)_{\infty}} \\ = \frac{(q, t_1, at_1, t_2, at_2, at_1 t_2 q^{n-1}; q)_n (at_1 t_2 q^{2n}; q)_{\infty}}{(t_1, at_1, t_2, at_2; q)_{\infty}} (-a)^n q^{n(n-1)/2} \delta_{m,n}.$$

Since $d\mu^{(a)}(x)/(xt_1, xt_2; q)_{\infty}$ and the right-hand side of (3.13) are symmetric in t_1 and t_2 then

$$t_1^{-n} (t_1, at_1; q)_n \varphi_n(x; a, t_1, t_2)$$

must be symmetric in t_1 and t_2 . This gives the ${}_3\phi_2$ transformation

$$(3.14) \quad {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, at_1 t_2 q^{n-1}, xt_1 \\ t_1, at_1 \end{matrix} \middle| q, q \right) = \frac{t_1^n (t_2, at_2; q)_n}{t_2^n (t_1, at_1; q)_n} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, at_1 t_2 q^{n-1}, xt_2 \\ t_2, at_2 \end{matrix} \middle| q, q \right).$$

We now consider the polynomials $\{V_n^{(a)}(x; q)\}$ and restrict the parameters to $0 < a$, $0 < q < 1$, in which case they are orthogonal with respect to a positive measure, cf. [12, VI.10]. The corresponding moment problem is determinate if and only if $0 < a \leq q$ or $1/q \leq a$. In the first case the unique solution is

$$(3.15) \quad m^{(a)} = (aq; q)_\infty \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n q^{n^2}}{(q, aq; q)_n} \varepsilon_{q^{-n}},$$

and in the second case it is

$$(3.16) \quad \sigma^{(a)} = (q/a; q)_\infty \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{-n} q^{n^2}}{(q, q/a; q)_n} \varepsilon_{aq^{-n}},$$

cf. [11]. The total mass of these measures was evaluated to 1 in [15].

If $q < a < 1/q$ the problem is indeterminate and both measures are solutions. In [11] the following one-parameter family of solutions with an analytic density was found

$$(3.17) \quad \nu(x; a, q, \gamma) = \frac{\gamma |a - 1| (q, aq, q/a; q)_\infty}{\pi a [(x/a; q)_\infty^2 + \gamma^2 (x; q)_\infty^2]}, \quad \gamma > 0.$$

In the above $a = 1$ has to be excluded. For a similar formula when $a = 1$ see [11].

If μ is one of the solutions of the moment problem we have the orthogonality relation

$$(3.18) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V_m^{(a)}(x; q) V_n^{(a)}(x; q) d\mu(x) = a^n q^{-n^2} (q; q)_n \delta_{m,n}.$$

The polynomials have the generating function [2], [12]

$$(3.19) \quad V(x; t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n^{(a)}(x; q) \frac{q^{n(n-1)/2}}{(q; q)_n} (-t)^n = \frac{(xt; q)_\infty}{(t, at; q)_\infty}, \quad |t| < \min(1, 1/a).$$

The power series (1.4) has the radius of convergence $\sqrt{q/a}$, and therefore (1.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(xt_1, xt_2; q)_\infty}{(t_1, at_1, t_2, at_2; q)_\infty} d\mu(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(x, t_1) V(x, t_2) d\mu(x) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(at_1 t_2 / q)^n}{(q; q)_n} \\ &= \frac{1}{(at_1 t_2 / q; q)_\infty}, \quad |t_1|, |t_2| < \sqrt{q/a}. \end{aligned}$$

This identity with $\mu = m^{(a)}$ or $\mu = \sigma^{(a)}$ is nothing but the q -analogue of the Gauss theorem,

$$(3.20) \quad {}_2\phi_1(a, b; c; q, c/ab) = \frac{(c/a, c/b; q)_\infty}{(c, c/ab; q)_\infty}$$

[14, (II.8)].

Specializing to the density (3.17) we get

$$(3.21) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(xt_1, xt_2; q)_\infty dx}{(x/a; q)_\infty^2 + \gamma^2(x; q)_\infty^2} = \frac{\pi a(t_1, at_1, t_2, at_2; q)_\infty}{|a - 1| \gamma(q, aq, q/a, at_1 t_2/q; q)_\infty},$$

valid for $q < a < 1/q, a \neq 1, \gamma > 0$.

We now seek polynomials or rational functions that are orthogonal with respect to the measure

$$(3.22) \quad d\nu(x) = (xt_1, xt_2; q)_\infty d\mu(x),$$

where μ satisfies (3.18). It is clear that we can integrate $1/[(xt_1; q)_k(xt_2; q)_j]$ with respect to the measure ν . Set

$$(3.23) \quad \psi_n(x; a, t_1, t_2) := \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} \frac{q^k a_{n,k}}{(xt_1; q)_k}.$$

The rest of the analysis is similar to our treatment of the U_n 's. We get

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_n(x; a, t_1, t_2)}{(xt_2; q)_m} d\nu(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} q^k a_{n,k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (xt_1 q^k, xt_2 q^m; q)_\infty d\mu(x),$$

and if we choose $a_{n,k} = (t_1, at_1; q)_k / (at_1 t_2/q; q)_k$ the above expression is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(t_1, at_1, t_2 q^m, at_2 q^m; q)_\infty}{(at_1 t_2 q^{m-1}; q)_\infty} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, at_1 t_2 q^{m-1} \\ at_1 t_2/q \end{matrix} \middle| q, q \right), \\ &= \frac{(t_1, at_1, t_2 q^m, at_2 q^m; q)_\infty (q^{-m}; q)_n (at_1 t_2 q^{m-1})^n}{(at_1 t_2 q^{m-1}; q)_\infty (at_1 t_2/q; q)_n}, \end{aligned}$$

which is 0 for $m < n$. We have used the Chu-Vandermonde sum (2.7). Since ν is symmetric in t_1, t_2 this leads to the biorthogonality relation

$$(3.24) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_m(x; a, t_2, t_1) \psi_n(x; a, t_1, t_2) d\nu(x) = \frac{(t_1, at_1, t_2, at_2; q)_\infty (q; q)_n}{(at_1 t_2/q; q)_\infty (at_1 t_2/q; q)_n} (at_1 t_2/q)^n \delta_{m,n}.$$

The ψ_n 's are given by

$$(3.25) \quad \psi_n(x; a, t_1, t_2) = {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, t_1, at_1 \\ xt_1, at_1 t_2/q \end{matrix} \middle| q, q \right).$$

They are essentially the rational functions studied by Al-Salam and Verma in [5]. Al-Salam and Verma used the notation

$$(3.26) \quad R_n(x; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; q) = {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \beta, \alpha\gamma/\delta, q^{-n} \\ \beta\gamma/q, \alpha qx \end{matrix} \middle| q, q \right).$$

The translation between the two notations is

$$(3.27) \quad \psi_n(x; a, t_1, t_2) = R_n(\beta x q^{-1}/\alpha; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; q),$$

with

$$(3.28) \quad t_1 = \beta, \quad t_2 = \beta\delta/q\alpha, \quad a = \alpha\gamma/\beta\delta.$$

Note that R_n has only three free variables since one of the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ can be absorbed by scaling the independent variable.

4. The Szegő Ladder. As already mentioned in the introduction Szegő [22] used the Jacobi triple product identity to prove (1.17). The explicit form (1.16) and the q -binomial theorem (2.3) give

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{H}(z, t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n(z; q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} = 1/(t, tzq^{-1/2}; q)_{\infty}.$$

Thus (1.17) and (4.1) imply the Ramanujan q -beta integral

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1} \frac{(q^{1/2}z, q^{1/2}/z; q)_{\infty}}{(t_1 q^{-1/2}z, t_2 q^{-1/2}/z; q)_{\infty}} \frac{dz}{z} = \frac{(t_1, t_2; q)_{\infty}}{(q, t_1 t_2/q; q)_{\infty}},$$

for $|t_1| < q^{1/2}$, $|t_2| < q^{1/2}$, since (1.4) has radius of convergence $q^{1/2}$. Putting

$$(4.3) \quad \Omega(z) = \frac{(q, t_1 t_2 q, q^{1/2}z, q^{1/2}/z; q)_{\infty}}{(t_1 q, t_2 q, t_1 q^{1/2}z, t_2 q^{1/2}/z; q)_{\infty}}$$

and applying the attachment technique to (4.2) we find that the polynomials

$$(4.4) \quad \tilde{p}_n(z, t_1, t_2) := {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, t_1 q^{1/2}z, t_1 q \\ 0, t_1 t_2 q \end{matrix} \middle| q, q \right).$$

satisfy the biorthogonality relation

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1} \tilde{p}_m(z, t_1, t_2) \overline{\tilde{p}_n(z, \bar{t}_2, \bar{t}_1)} \Omega(z) \frac{dz}{z} = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(t_1 t_2 q; q)_n} (t_1 t_2 q)^n \delta_{m,n}.$$

Using the transformation [14, (III.7)] we see that

$$(4.6) \quad \tilde{p}_n(z, t_1, t_2) = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(t_1 t_2 q; q)_n} (t_1 q)^n p_n(z, t_1, t_2),$$

where

$$(4.7) \quad p_n(z, a, b) = \frac{(b; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} {}_2\phi_1(q^{-n}, aq; q^{1-n}/b; q, q^{1/2}z/b) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(aq; q)_k (b; q)_{n-k}}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{n-k}} (q^{-1/2}z)^k,$$

are the polynomials considered by Pastro [19] and for which the biorthogonality relation reads

$$(4.8) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1} p_m(z, t_1, t_2) \overline{p_n(z, \bar{t}_2, \bar{t}_1)} \Omega(z) \frac{dz}{z} = \frac{(t_1 t_2 q; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} q^{-n} \delta_{m,n}.$$

Al-Salam and Ismail [4] used (4.8) and the generating function

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(z; a, b) t^n = \frac{(at z q^{1/2}, bt; q)_{\infty}}{(t z q^{-1/2}, t; q)_{\infty}}$$

to establish a q -beta integral and found the rational functions biorthogonal to its integrand. The interested reader is referred to [4] for details.

5. The q^{-1} -Hermite Ladder. When $q > 1$ in (1.5) the polynomials $\{H_n(x|q)\}$ become orthogonal on the imaginary axis. The result of replacing x by ix and q by $1/q$ put the orthogonality on the real line and the new q is now in $(0, 1)$, [7]. Denote $(-i)^n H_n(ix|1/q)$ by $h_n(x|q)$. In this new notation the recurrence relation (1.5) and the initial conditions (1.7) become

$$(5.1) \quad h_{n+1}(x|q) = 2x h_n(x|q) - q^{-n} (1 - q^n) h_{n-1}(x|q), n > 0,$$

$$(5.2) \quad h_0(x|q) = 1, \quad h_1(x|q) = 2x.$$

The polynomials $\{h_n(x|q)\}$ are called the q^{-1} -Hermite polynomials, [17]. The corresponding moment problem is indeterminate. Let $\mathcal{V}_q$ be the set of probability measures which solve the problem. For any $\mu \in \mathcal{V}_q$ we have

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_m(x|q) h_n(x|q) d\mu(x) = q^{-n(n+1)/2} (q; q)_n \delta_{m,n}.$$

The h'_n s have the generating function, [17],

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n(x|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} q^{n(n-1)/2} = (-te^{\xi}, te^{-\xi}; q)_{\infty}, \quad x = \sinh \xi, \quad t, \xi \in \mathbf{C}.$$

By Proposition 1.1 it is clear that (5.3) and (5.4) imply

$$(5.5) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-t_1 e^{\xi}, t_1 e^{-\xi}, -t_2 e^{\xi}, t_2 e^{-\xi}; q)_{\infty} d\mu(x) = (-t_1 t_2 / q; q)_{\infty}, \quad t_1, t_2 \in \mathbf{C}, \mu \in \mathcal{V}_q$$

since the power series (1.4) has radius of convergence $\rho = \infty$. Incidentally the function

$$(5.6) \quad \chi_t(x) = (-te^{\xi}, te^{-\xi}; q)_{\infty} = (-t(\sqrt{x^2 + 1} + x), t(\sqrt{x^2 + 1} - x); q)_{\infty}$$

belongs to $L^2(\mu)$ for any $\mu \in \mathcal{V}_q$ and any $t \in \mathbf{C}$. Therefore the complex measure $\nu_{\mu}(t_1, t_2)$ defined by

$$(5.7) \quad d\nu_{\mu}(x; t_1, t_2) := \frac{\chi_{t_1}(x)\chi_{t_2}(x)}{(-t_1 t_2 / q; q)_{\infty}} d\mu(x), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{V}_q, t_1, t_2 \in \mathbf{C}, t_1 t_2 \neq -q^{1-k}, k \geq 0$$

has total mass 1, and it is non-negative if $t_1 = \overline{t_2}$.

Note that $(-te^{\xi}, te^{-\xi}; q)_k$ is a polynomial of degree k in $x = \sinh \xi$ for each fixed $t \neq 0$. Since

$$(-te^{\xi}/q^k, te^{-\xi}/q^k; q)_k \chi_t(x) = \chi_{t/q^k}(x),$$

we see that the non-negative polynomial $|(-te^{\xi}/q^k, te^{-\xi}/q^k; q)_k|^2$ of degree $2k$ is $\nu_{\mu}(t, \bar{t})$ -integrable. This implies that $\nu_{\mu}(t, \bar{t})$ has moments of any order, and by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality every polynomial is $\nu_{\mu}(t_1, t_2)$ -integrable for all $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbf{C}, \mu \in \mathcal{V}_q$.

Introducing the orthonormal polynomials

$$(5.8) \quad \tilde{h}_n(x|q) = \frac{h_n(x|q)}{\sqrt{(q; q)_n}} q^{n(n+1)/4}$$

the q -Mehler formula, cf. [17], reads

$$(5.9) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{h}_n(\sinh \xi | q) \tilde{h}_n(\sinh \eta | q) z^n = \frac{(-zqe^{\xi+\eta}, -zqe^{-\xi-\eta}, zqe^{\xi-\eta}, zqe^{-\xi+\eta}; q)_{\infty}}{(z^2 q; q)_{\infty}}$$

valid for $\xi, \eta \in \mathbf{C}, |z| < 1/\sqrt{q}$.

Applying the Darboux method to (5.9) Ismail and Masson [17] found the asymptotic behaviour of $\tilde{h}_n(\sinh \eta | q)$, and from their result it follows that $(\tilde{h}_n(\sinh \eta | q) z^n) \in l^2$ for $|z| < q^{-1/4}, \eta \in \mathbf{C}$. In

this case the right-hand side of (5.9) belongs to $L^2(\mu)$ as a function of $x = \sinh \xi$ and the formula is its orthogonal expansion. Putting $t = qze^\eta, s = -qze^{-\eta}$, we have $z^2 = -stq^{-2}$, so if $|st| < q^{3/2}$ we have $|z| < q^{-1/4}$ and the right-hand side of (5.9) becomes $\chi_t(\sinh \xi)\chi_s(\sinh \xi)/(-st/q; q)_\infty$, which belongs to $L^2(\mu)$. Using this observation we can give a simple proof of the following formula from [17].

Proposition 5.1 *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{V}_q$ and let $t_i \in \mathbf{C}, i = 1, \dots, 4$ satisfy $|t_1 t_3|, |t_2 t_4| < q^{3/2}$. (This holds in particular if $|t_i| < q^{3/4}, i = 1, \dots, 4$). Then $\prod_{i=1}^4 \chi_{t_i} \in L^1(\mu)$ and*

$$(5.10) \quad \int \prod_{i=1}^4 \chi_{t_i} d\mu = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq 4} (-t_j t_k / q; q)_\infty}{(t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 q^{-3}; q)_\infty}.$$

Proof. We write

$$qz_1 e^{\eta_1} = t_1, \quad qz_2 e^{\eta_2} = t_2, \quad -qz_1 e^{-\eta_1} = t_3, \quad -qz_2 e^{\eta_2} = t_4,$$

noting that $z_1^2 = -t_1 t_3 q^{-2}, z_2^2 = -t_2 t_4 q^{-2}$, so the equations have solutions $z_i, \eta_i, i = 1, 2$ if $t_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. We next apply Parseval's formula to the two $L^2(\mu)$ -functions $\chi_{t_1} \chi_{t_3}, \chi_{t_2} \chi_{t_4}$ and get

$$\int \prod_{i=1}^4 \chi_{t_i} d\mu = (-t_1 t_3 / q, -t_2 t_4 / q; q)_\infty \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{h}_n(\sinh \eta_1 | q) \tilde{h}_n(\sinh \eta_2 | q) (z_1 z_2)^n,$$

which by the q -Mehler formula gives the right-hand side of (5.10).

If $t_1 = 0$ and $t_2 t_3 t_4 \neq 0$ we apply Parseval's formula to χ_{t_3} and $\chi_{t_2} \chi_{t_4}$, and if two of the parameters are zero the formula reduces to (5.5). $\square$

We shall now look at orthogonal polynomials for the measures $\nu_\mu(t_1, t_2)$. When $q > 1$ the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials are orthogonal on $(-\infty, \infty)$ and their moment problem may be indeterminate [9], [3], [13]. If one replaces q by $1/q$ in the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials, they can be renormalized to polynomials $\{v_n(x; q, a, b, c)\}$ satisfying

$$(5.11) \quad (1 - q^{n+1})v_{n+1}(x; q, a, b, c) = (a - xq^n)v_n(x; q, a, b, c) - (b - cq^{n-1})v_{n-1}(x; q, a, b, c),$$

where $0 < q < 1$, and a, b, c are complex parameters. We now consider the special case

$$(5.12) \quad u_n(x; t_1, t_2) = v_n(-2x; q, -(t_1 + t_2)/q, t_1 t_2 q^{-2}, -1),$$

where t_1, t_2 are complex parameters. The corresponding monic polynomials $\hat{u}_n(x)$ satisfy the recurrence relation determined from (5.11)

$$(5.13) \quad x\hat{u}_n(x) = \hat{u}_{n+1}(x) + \frac{1}{2}(t_1 + t_2)q^{-n-1}\hat{u}_n(x) + \frac{1}{4}(t_1 t_2 q^{-2n-1} + q^{-n})(1 - q^n)\hat{u}_{n-1}(x),$$

so by Favard's theorem, cf. [12], $\{u_n(x; t_1, t_2)\}$ are orthogonal with respect to a complex measure $\alpha(t_1, t_2)$ if and only if $t_1 t_2 \neq -q^{n+1}$, $n \geq 1$, and with respect to a probability measure $\alpha(t_1, t_2)$ if and only if $t_2 = \overline{t_1} \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{R}$ or $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbf{R}$ and $t_1 t_2 \geq 0$. In the affirmative case

$$(5.14) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_m(x; t_1, t_2) u_n(x; t_1, t_2) d\alpha(x; t_1, t_2) = \frac{q^{n(n-3)/2}}{(q; q)_n} (-t_1 t_2 q^{-n-1}; q)_n \delta_{m,n}.$$

It follows from (3.77) in [9] that the moment problem is indeterminate if $t_1 = \overline{t_2}$. If t_1, t_2 are real, different and $t_1 t_2 \geq 0$, we can assume $|t_1| < |t_2|$ without loss of generality, and in this case the moment problem is indeterminate if and only if $|t_1/t_2| > q$.

The generating function (3.70) in [9] takes the form ($x = \sinh \xi$)

$$(5.15) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n(x; t_1, t_2) t^n = \frac{(-te^{\xi}, te^{-\xi}; q)_{\infty}}{(-t_1 t/q, -t_2 t/q; q)_{\infty}}, \quad \text{for } |t| < \min\{q/|t_1|, q/|t_2|\}.$$

By the method used in [9] we can derive formulas for u_n in the following way: Use the q -binomial theorem to write the right-hand side of (5.15) as a product of two power series in t and equate coefficients of t^n to get

$$(5.16) \quad u_n(x; t_1, t_2) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(qe^{\xi}/t_1; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} (-t_1/q)^k \frac{(-qe^{-\xi}/t_2; q)_{n-k}}{(q; q)_{n-k}} (-t_2/q)^{n-k}.$$

Application of the identity (I.11) in [14] gives the explicit representation

$$(5.17) \quad u_n(x; t_1, t_2) = (-t_2/q)^n \frac{(-qe^{-\xi}/t_2; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, qe^{\xi}/t_1 \\ -t_2 e^{\xi}/q^n \end{matrix} \middle| q, -t_1 e^{\xi} \right),$$

which by (III.8) in [14] can be transformed to

$$(5.18) \quad u_n(x; t_1, t_2) = \frac{(-q^2/(t_1 t_2); q)_n}{(q; q)_n} (-t_2/q)^n {}_3\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, qe^{\xi}/t_1, -qe^{-\xi}/t_1 \\ -q^2/(t_1 t_2) \end{matrix} \middle| q, (t_1/t_2)q^n \right).$$

Writing the ${}_3\phi_1$ as a finite sum and applying the formula

$$(a; q)_k = (q^{1-k}/a; q)_k (-a)^k q^{k(k-1)/2},$$

we see that (5.18) can be transformed to

$$(5.19) \quad u_n(x; t_1, t_2) = (-1/t_1)^n \frac{(-t_1 t_2/q^{n+1}; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} q^{n(n+1)/2} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, -t_1 e^{\xi}/q^k, t_1 e^{-\xi}/q^k; q)_k}{(q, -t_1 t_2/q^{k+1}; q)_k} q^{nk}.$$

By symmetry of t_1, t_2 a similar formula holds for t_1 and t_2 interchanged.

Theorem 5.2 For $\mu \in \mathcal{V}_q$ and $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $t_1 t_2 \neq -q^{n+1}$, $n \geq 1$ the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials $\{u_n(x; t_1, t_2)\}$ are orthogonal with respect to the complex measure $\nu_\mu(t_1, t_2)$ given by (5.7).

Proof. It follows by (5.13) that $\hat{u}_n(x; 0, 0) = 2^{-n} h_n(x|q)$ so the assertion is clear for $t_1 = t_2 = 0$.

Assume now that $t_1 \neq 0$. By the three term recurrence relation it suffices to prove that

$$(5.20) \quad \int u_n(x; t_1, t_2) d\nu_\mu(x; t_1, t_2) = 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

By (5.19) and (5.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int u_n(x; t_1, t_2) d\nu_\mu(x; t_1, t_2) \\ &= (-1/t_1)^n \frac{(-t_1 t_2 / q^{n+1}; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} q^{n(n+1)/2} \sum_{k=0}^n q^{nk} \frac{(q^{-n}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} \int \frac{\chi_{t_1/q^k}(x) \chi_{t_2}(x)}{(-t_1 t_2 / q^{k+1}; q)_\infty} d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

By (5.5) the integral is 1, and the sum is equal to ${}_1\phi_0(q^{-n}; -; q, q^n)$, which is equal to 0 for $n \geq 1$ by (II.4) in [14]. $\square$

In particular, if $t_2 = \overline{t_1}$ then $\nu_\mu(t_1, \overline{t_1})$ is a positive measure and the Al-Salam-Chihara moment problem corresponding to $\{u_n(x; t_1, \overline{t_1})\}$ is indeterminate. The set

$$\{\nu_\mu(t_1, \overline{t_1}) \mid \mu \in \mathcal{V}_q\}$$

is a compact convex subset of the full set $\mathcal{C}(t_1)$ of solutions to the $\{u_n(x; t_1, \overline{t_1})\}$ -moment problem.

If $t_1 = t_2 \in \mathbf{R}$ then

$$\{\nu_\mu(t_1, \overline{t_1}) \mid \mu \in \mathcal{V}_q\} \neq \mathcal{C}(t_1)$$

since the measures on the left can have no mass at the zeros of $\chi_{t_1}(x)$.

If $t_1 = t \in (q, 1)$ and $t_2 = 0$ then the Al-Salam-Chihara moment problem is determinate and the set $\{\nu_\mu(t, 0) \mid \mu \in \mathcal{V}_q\}$ contains exactly one positive measure namely the one coming from $\mu \in \mathcal{V}_q$ being the N -extremal solution corresponding to the choice $a = q/t$ in (6.27) and (6.30) of [17], i.e. μ is the discrete measure with mass m_n at x_n for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, where

$$x_n = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t}{q^{n+1}} - \frac{q^{n+1}}{t} \right)$$

and

$$m_n = \frac{(q/t)^{4n} q^{n(2n-1)} (1 + q^{2n+2}/t^2)}{(-q^2/t^2, -t^2/q, q; q)_\infty}.$$

The function $\chi_t(x)$ vanishes for $x = x_n$ when $n < 0$ and we get

$$\nu_\mu(t, 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \varepsilon_{x_n},$$

where

$$c_n = \frac{q^{3n(n+1)/2} (1 + q^{2n+2}/t^2) (-q^2/t^2; q)_n}{t^{2n} (q; q)_n (-q^2/t^2; q)_\infty}.$$

We now go back to (5.5) and integrate $1/(-t_1 e^\xi, t_1 e^{-\xi}; q)_k$ against the integrand in (5.5). Here again the attachment method works and we see that

$$(5.21) \quad \varphi_n(\sinh \xi; t_1, t_2) := {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, -t_1 t_2 q^{n-2}, 0 \\ -t_1 e^\xi, t_1 e^{-\xi} \end{matrix} \middle| q, q \right).$$

satisfies the biorthogonality relation

$$(5.22) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_m(x; t_1, t_2) \varphi_n(x; t_2, t_1) \chi_{t_1}(x) \chi_{t_2}(x) d\mu(x) \\ = \frac{1 + t_1 t_2 q^{n-2}}{1 + t_1 t_2 q^{2n-2}} (-t_1 t_2 q^{n-1}; q)_\infty (q; q)_n q^{n(n-3)/2} (t_1 t_2)^n \delta_{m,n}.$$

The biorthogonal rational functions (5.21) are the special case $t_3 = t_4 = 0$ of the biorthogonal rational functions

$$(5.23) \quad \varphi_n(\sinh \xi; t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) := {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, -t_1 t_2 q^{n-2}, -t_1 t_3/q, -t_1 t_4/q \\ -t_1 e^\xi, t_1 e^{-\xi}, t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 q^{-3} \end{matrix} \middle| q, q \right).$$

of Ismail and Masson [17]. We have not been able to apply a generating function technique to (5.21) because we have not been able to find a suitable generating function for the rational functions (5.21).

We now return to the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials $\{u_n(x; t_1, t_2)\}$ in the positive definite case and reconsider the generating function (5.15). The radius of convergence of (1.4) is $\rho = q^{3/2}/\sqrt{t_1 t_2}$, and we get by Proposition 1.1, (5.14) and the q -binomial theorem

$$(5.24) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{t_3}(x) \chi_{t_4}(x) d\alpha(x; t_1, t_2) = \frac{(-t_1 t_3/q, -t_1 t_4/q, -t_2 t_3/q, -t_2 t_4/q, -t_3 t_4/q; q)_\infty}{(t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 q^{-3}; q)_\infty},$$

valid for $|t_3|, |t_4| < \rho$.

Applying this to the measures $\alpha(t_1, t_2) = \nu_\mu(t_1, t_2)$, we get a new proof of (5.10), now under slightly different assumptions on $t_1, \dots, t_4$.

The attachment procedure works in this case, and we prove the biorthogonality relation of [17] under the same assumptions as in Proposition 5.1:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.25) \quad & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_m(x; t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \varphi_n(x; t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \prod_{i=1}^4 \chi_{t_i}(x) d\mu(x) \\
 &= \frac{1 + t_1 t_2 q^{n-2}}{1 + t_1 t_2 q^{2n-2}} \frac{(t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 q^{-3})^n (q, -q^2/t_3 t_4; q)_n (-t_1 t_2 q^{n-1}; q)_\infty \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq 4} (-t_j t_k/q; q)_\infty}{(t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 q^{-3}; q)_n (t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 q^{-3}; q)_\infty} \delta_{m,n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] N. I. Akhiezer, *The Classical Moment Problem and Some Related Questions in Analysis*, English translation, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1965.
- [2] W. A. Al-Salam and L. Carlitz, *Some orthogonal q -polynomials*, Math. Nachr. **30** (1965), 47-61.
- [3] W. A. Al-Salam and T. S. Chihara, *Convolutions of orthogonal polynomials*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **7** (1976), 16-28.
- [4] W. A. Al-Salam and M. E. H. Ismail, *A q -beta integral on the unit circle and some biorthogonal rational functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [5] W. A. Al-Salam and A. Verma, *Q -analogs of some biorthogonal functions*, Canad. Math. Bull. **26**(1983), 225-227.
- [6] G. E. Andrews and R. A. Askey, *Classical orthogonal polynomials*, in “Polynomes Orthogonaux et Applications”, eds. C. Breziniski et ál., Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1171, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984, pp. 36-63.
- [7] R. A. Askey, *Continuous q -Hermite polynomials when $q > 1$* , in “ q -Series and Partitions”, ed. D. Stanton, IMA volumes in Mathematics and Its Applications, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989, pp. 151-158.

- [8] R. A. Askey and M. E. H. Ismail, *A generalization of ultraspherical polynomials*, in “Studies in Pure Mathematics”, ed. P. Erdős, Birkhauser, Basel, 1983, pp. 55-78.
- [9] R. A. Askey and M. E. H. Ismail, *Recurrence relations, continued fractions and orthogonal polynomials*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. Number **300** (1984).
- [10] R. A. Askey and J. A. Wilson, *Some basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials that generalize Jacobi polynomials*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. Number **319** (1985).
- [11] C. Berg and G. Valent, *The Nevanlinna parameterization for some indeterminate Stieltjes moment problems associated with birth and death processes*, Methods and Applications of Analysis, to appear.
- [12] T. S. Chihara, *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1978.
- [13] T. S. Chihara and M. E. H. Ismail, *Extremal measures for a system of orthogonal polynomials*, Constructive Approximation **9** (1993), 111-119.
- [14] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [15] M. E. H. Ismail, *A queueing model and a set of orthogonal polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **108** (1985), 575-594.
- [16] M. E. H. Ismail and D. R. Masson, *Extremal measures for q -Hermite polynomials when $q > 1$* , C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sc. Canada, **15** (1993), 7-12.
- [17] M. E. H. Ismail and D. R. Masson, *Q -Hermite polynomials, biorthogonal rational functions and Q -beta integrals*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. (1994), to appear.
- [18] M. E. H. Ismail and J. Wilson, *Asymptotic and generating relations for the q -Jacobi and the ${}_4\phi_3$ polynomials*, J. Approximation Theory **36** (1982), 43-54.
- [19] P. I. Pastro, *Orthogonal polynomials and some q -beta integrals of Ramanujan*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **112** (1985), 517-540.
- [20] M. Rahman, *Biorthogonality of a system of rational functions with respect to a positive measure on $[-1, 1]$* , SIAM J. Math. Anal. **22** (1991), 1421-1431.
- [21] J. Shohat and J. D. Tamarkin, *The Problem of Moments*, revised edition, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1950.

- [22] G. Szegő, Beitrag zur Theorie der Thetafunktionen, Sitz. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Phys. Math. Kl., **XIX** (1926), 242-252, Reprinted in "Collected Papers", edited by R. Askey, Volume I, Birkhauser, Boston, 1982.

Mathematics Institute, Copenhagen University, Universitetsparken 5, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark.

Department of Mathematics, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida 33620-5700.